22 JAN 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Regulations Control Staff, DDA

SUBJECT : Proposed

: Proposed HN Daytime Char Force Cleaning (Job 7422)

e STATINTL

We propose changes such as those noted on the attached copy of the draft notice.

STATINTL

Acting Chief, Career Management Group, Plans, Staff, DDO

Attachment

SECURITY

HN

DAYTIME CHAR FORCE CLEANING

STATINTL



1. Daytime cleaning of Agency buildings in the Metropolitan Washington area by the GSA char force requires increased security awareness by all personnel. For reasons of energy conservation, budget, and shortage of manpower for night work, daytime char force operations, while undesirable for security reasons, must be accepted and adjustments made. Although subject to security screening, GSA char force personnel are not cleared for access to classified information. Their Facility Access Approvals only permit access to Agency buildings.

2. To minimize the possibility of security compremise, the following procedures have been established:

and to remain; outside the room until authorized to enter.

- a. Char force personnel have been instructed to knock or otherwise announce their presence when entering a room, (Specific schedules cannot be arranged because of day-to-day changes in the amount of cleaning required.)
- b. Supervisors will establish a system for admitting and closely monitoring char force personnel while they are in their area-under their jurisdiction, for informing all employees
- c. Employees should be especially alert when char force personnel are in their area and assume responsibility for protecting classified material. Special attention should be given to temporarily vacated offices. (Details concerning employee responsibility for temporarily vacated offices are contained in the referent handbook.)

REINSERT

d. If everriding security considerations prevent access by char force personnel, they should be requested to bypass the office and return as time permits.

It is important that this requirement be complied with so as to permit discussion of classified or controlled information to be stepped, telephone calls on the red, gray or green line to be terminated, classified or controlled documents to be covered or secured, safe drawers to be closed, waste baskets to be checked to be sure that no classified or controlled material has been mistakenly placed in them, temporarily vacated rooms to be secured, and any other appropriate security precautions to be taken, before the char force personnel enter the room. Any failure to comply with the recuirement is to be brought to the attention of the Chief, Logistics Services Division.

of the presence of the char force personnel, and for elsuring that all appropriate security presentions are taken. Est will designate a specific employee(*) to escort the char force personnel while in the area.

| • | ROUTIN | G AND | RECOR | D SHEET OF DEOLETES |
|--|----------|--------------|-----------|--|
| SUBJECT: (Optional) | | | | - UO REGIOIN |
| Proposed HN Daytin | ne Char | Force C1 | eaning | |
| FROM: | | | EXTENSION | NO. |
| Regulations Control Branch | | | | STA |
| | | | | 27 January 1975 |
| TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building) | DATE | | OFFICER'S | COMMENTS (Number each comment to the first |
| | RECEIVED | FORWARDED | INITIALS | COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from to whom. Draw a line across column after each co |
| 1. | | | 1 | |
| 4E58 Hqs. | | | 1nd | Attached is the char force |
| 2. | | | , | notice rewritten by DDO. We |
| 20/4/00 | : | | | agree that elaborate procedure added to paragraph 2a are un- |
| 3. | | | | necessary. |
| | | | | Perhaps we could adopt a co |
| 4. | | | i | of points in paragraph 2a, cha "when" to "before" and adding phrase "and to remain outside room until authorized to enter |
| | | | | |
| 5. | | | | |
| | | | | We might even add that it shown be brought to the attention of |
| 6. | | | | C/LSD if the char force does r comply. The message in between comes out as a security checkl |
| | | | | |
| 7. | | | | |
| | | | | Perhaps some of the addition to paragraph 2b also could be |
| 8. | | | | adopted. |
| | | | | If we do not accept the pro |
| 9. | - | | | cedures added in paragraph 2a, the paragraph 2c deletion should be reinstated. |
| | | | } | |
| 0. | | | | |
| | | | | We have no quarrel with the deletion of "overriding" in |
| 1. | | | | paragraph 2d - if you don't. |
| | | | | We noted also that reference |
| 2. | | | | was deleted. Perhaps |
| | | | | reels it said it all in the no |
| 3. | | | | Please let us have your com |
| | | | | on the revised draft. RCB will be glad to assist in a response |
| 1. | | | | to the DDO. |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |